Case 7:24-cv-02959-KMK Document 20 Filed 07/10/24 Page 1 of 3 Case 7:24-cv-02959-KMK Document 19 Filed 07/10/24 Page 1 of 3

Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP 875 Third Avenue New York, New York 10022 troutmañ<sup>¶</sup> pepper

troutman.com

Stephen J. Steinlight 212.704.6008 stephen.steinlight@troutman.com

July 10, 2024

## VIA ECF

Honorable Ken Karas, U.S.D.J. United States District Court Southern District of New York 300 Quarropas St. White Plains, NY 10601-4150

Re: CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. v. Mike Cerreta Auto Sales and Michael Cerreta U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York, Case No. 7:24-cv-2959

<u>Letter Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to Defendants' Answer</u>

Your Honor:

We represent Plaintiff CarMax Auto Superstores, Inc. ("CarMax") in the above-referenced action. With Defendants' consent, CarMax respectfully submits this request for an extension of time within which Defendants may file a motion pursuant to Rule 12 or otherwise respond to Defendants' Answer to CarMax's Complaint (ECF No. 18). Pursuant to Rule 12(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and Rule I(C) of Your Honor's Individual Practices, CarMax states the following in support of its request:

- 1. CarMax initiated this matter on April 18, 2024. (ECF No. 1.)
- 2. Defendants filed an answer to CarMax's Complaint on June 20, 2024. (ECF No 18.)
- 3. CarMax is currently assessing the propriety of a Motion to Strike Defendants' Affirmative Defenses to the Complaint.
- 4. The parties have conferred regarding a thirty-day extension from July 11, 2024 until and including August 12, 2024 for CarMax to respond to the Answer. Counsel for Defendants consents to CarMax's extension until and including August 12, 2024 for CarMax to respond to the Answer, if CarMax determines to do so.
- 5. There is good cause to grant the requested extensions as this is the second request

July 10, 2024 Page 2



for an extension of time between the parties, and the extension will not prejudice either party.

6. No deadlines will be affected by the relief sought. The Parties do not object to the scheduling of an initial conference in this case pursuant to Your Honor's Individual Practices notwithstanding the agreed extension.

In light of the foregoing, CarMax respectfully requests the Court extend the time within which it may respond to Defendants' Answer up to and including August 12, 2024.

We thank Your Honor for your consideration of this request. If Your Honor has any questions or concerns, please do not hesitate to contact my office.

Grantecl.

So Ordered.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stephen J. Steinlight

7/10/24 Stephen J. Steinlight

cc:

All Counsel of Record (via ECF, U.S. Mail, and email)

July 10, 2024 Page 3



## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on July 10, 2024, a copy of the foregoing Letter Motion for Extension of Time to Respond to the Complaint was served by CM/ECF, U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, and email on:

John M. Murtagh Murtagh, Cossu, Venditti & Castro-Blanco, LLP 222 Bloomingdale Road, Suite 202 White Plains, NY 10605

Attorneys for Defendants

/s/ Stephen J. Steinlight
Stephen J. Steinlight, Esquire
Troutman Pepper Hamilton Sanders LLP
875 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
Telephone: 212.704.6008

Attorneys for Plaintiff